

**ENFIELD TOWN COUNCIL
MINUTES OF A PUBLIC HEARING
MONDAY, MAY 6, 2019**

A Public Hearing was called to order by Chairman Ludwick in the Council Chambers of the Enfield Town Hall, 820 Enfield Street, Enfield, Connecticut on Monday, May 6, 2019 at 7:17 p.m.

Present were Councilors Bosco, Cekala, Cressotti, Deni, Kiner, Ludwick, Muller, Sferrazza, Szewczak, and Unghire. Councilor Davis was absent. Also present were Town Manager, Christopher Bromson; Town Clerk, Suzanne Olechnicki; Town Attorney, Maria Elsdon

Chairman Ludwick read the notice of Public Hearing, which was published in the Hartford Courant on Thursday, April 26, 2019.

**TOWN OF ENFIELD
LEGAL NOTICE
PUBLIC HEARING**

The Enfield Town Council will hold a Public Hearing in the Enfield Town Hall, Council Chambers, 820 Enfield Street, Enfield, Connecticut on Monday, May 6, 2019 at 6:50 p.m. to allow interested citizens an opportunity to express their opinions regarding the AMENDMENT OF ENFIELD TOWN CODE, CHAPTER 46, HISTORIC PRESERVATION SECTION 46-83.

Chairman Ludwick announced the ground rules for the Public Hearing.

There were no comments from the public.

Chairman Ludwick closed the Public Hearing at 7:20 p.m.

**ENFIELD TOWN COUNCIL
MINUTES OF A REGULAR MEETING
MONDAY, MAY 6, 2019**

The Regular Meeting of the Enfield Town Council was called to order by Chairman Ludwick in the Council Chambers of the Enfield Town Hall, 820 Enfield Street, Enfield, Connecticut on Monday, May 6, 2019. The meeting was called to order at 7:21 p.m.

PRAYER – The Prayer was given by Councilor Cekala.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE – The Pledge of Allegiance was recited.

Present were Councilors Bosco, Cekala, Cressotti, Deni, Kiner, Ludwick, Muller, Sferrazza, Szewczak, and Unghire. Councilor Davis was absent. Also present were Town Manager, Christopher Bromson; Town Clerk, Suzanne Olechnicki; Town Attorney, Maria Elsdon; Director of Public Works, Donald Nunes; Chief Technology Officer, Paul Russell; Chief of Police, Alaric Fox; Facilities Manager, Mark Gahr

FIRE EVACUATION ANNOUNCEMENT

Chairman Ludwick made the fire evacuation announcement.

MINUTES OF PRECEDING MEETINGS

MOTION #4993 by Councilor Szewczak, seconded by Councilor Muller to accept the minutes of the April 15, 2019 Special Meeting.

Upon a **SHOW-OF-HANDS** vote being taken, the Chair declared **MOTION #4993** adopted 10-0-0.

MOTION #4994 by Councilor Deni, seconded by Councilor Cressotti to accept the minutes of the April 15, 2019 Regular Meeting.

Upon a **SHOW-OF-HANDS** vote being taken, the Chair declared **MOTION #4994** adopted 10-0-0.

MOTION #4995 by Councilor Unghire, seconded by Councilor Cekala to accept the minutes of the April 27, 2019 Special Meeting.

Upon a **SHOW-OF-HANDS** vote being taken, the Chair declared **MOTION #4995** adopted 9-0-1, with Councilor Bosco abstaining.

SPECIAL GUESTS

Alyssa Rossignol, Player of the Year

Chairman Ludwick recognized Alyssa Rossignol, Player of the Year, for her outstanding accomplishments as a basketball player.

PUBLIC COMMUNICATIONS & PETITIONS

Steve Cogtella, 2 South River Street

Thanked the Council for asking questions of the Department of Transportation representatives. He also thanked Mr. Bromson for informing him about the proposed projects.

He noted there were a lot more questions and concerns at the April 17, 2019 Public Hearing. He requested the Council table the resolutions regarding both South River Street projects. He stated there were eight members of the Town Council who were not at the April 17, 2019 Public Hearing, and the minutes of that hearing were made available just hours ago. He noted he heard an explanation today, however, the town was in violation of state statute. He stated his opinion there's no way Council members had enough time to read those minutes and have meaningful discussion of what transpired, especially during public comments at the hearing. He stated he does not know how an independent decision can be made to cast a vote without having a full understanding of all the issues raised.

Mr. Cogtella stated the public had no opportunity to review the minutes and to address Council tonight if they desired to do so. He noted when those minutes are published, he would like to know exactly where they are. He stated he got the link because he specifically requested it, but the general public can't go to a Planning & Zoning or Town Council website and get those minutes, because they must dig for them, and they can't find them anywhere. He stated if in fact the Council did have those minutes, and they weren't provided to the general public, that's even a bigger problem, but he's not suggesting that. He stated for the record, he never believed the recording secretary was ever at fault for not providing those minutes in a timely fashion because she's too efficient and responsible to allow that to happen.

He stated he would like to know why a vote is being taken before people's concerns and questions have been addressed regarding the two projects. He noted it's obvious some were addressed today because they received a copy with a lot of the questions provided to John Cabibbo, but a lot of people did not copy the Council on that.

Mr. Cogtella stated he would like to know why this is going before the Council tonight to approve these projects as designed. He noted people were specifically asked to submit concerns and questions, and he believes someone has an obligation to answer them before this goes any further since that's just common courtesy.

He stated he also noticed a reference was made to the Enfield Complete Streets Policy adopted by Council on 4/20/2015. He noted reference was obviously made to steer Council in a direction to approve these projects as designed. He stated his problem with this policy is that there are several mandatory provisions stipulated that haven't even been complied with. He referred to "Implementation" where it states, "A Committee of relevant stakeholders designated by the Town Manager will be created to implement this initiative." He stated he would like to talk to the members of that Committee and hear what they had to provide as far as input on these two projects. He pointed out he could find no such Committee, and he could find no one associated with it. He stated he made a verbal inquiry today with Engineering, and they never heard of this Committee.

Mr. Cogtella stated on one hand they have a policy being used to support these projects, yet the town is not even in compliance with the mandatory provisions of its own policy. He stated the use of this policy is discretionary by Council members per Paragraph 4, #2 – "Where costs or impacts of accommodation is excessively disproportional to the need or probable use or probable future use." He stated if the Council reviews the comments of the April 17, 2019 Public Hearing, they will know not to consider this policy in deciding its vote as these projects are currently designed. He stated when he reads this statement, he thinks of the \$850,000 bicycle/pedestrian bridge being proposed, and a full acquisition and partial acquisition of privately-owned property.

Mr. Cogtella stated people have only been asking for a redesign of these two projects so that privately owned properties aren't impacted, and he feels with a little effort that can be done.

He stated after the ECDC scandal, there was a lot of distrust between public officials and the general public, and they made a lot progress since then because of transparency. He noted an example of that is how the Planning & Zoning Commission worked with the public when their zone changes were being considered. He stated there were workshops with consultants and commissioners and dialog back and forth. He noted the forward progress made, thanks to the efforts of Planning & Zoning, has been lost with the way these projects have been handled.

Mr. Cogtella stated the Public Hearing of April 17, 2019 is proving to be no more than a charade.

George Young, 8 Holly Lane

Stated Page 36 of the proposed budget book should be blank since it's a duplication of Page 37, which also has the total for Protection of Life and Property General Fund.

Mr. Young stated his belief the original loan to WPC was about \$2.5 million dollars from the town and year after year the taxpayers continue to pay the WPC, which is supposed to repay the loan back to the town. He noted each year the WPC struggles to pay it, and it has previously not paid its annual installment. He questioned if there's any way the balance could be considered as seed money to the WPC and eliminate this debt and burden from the WPC and from the sewer usage bill. He stated he's sure the Town Council, Town Attorney and Town Manager can figure out something without violating state law.

Mr. Young stated in the prior year approved budget he added up the workmen's compensation expense, which appears throughout the budget without rhyme or reason as to why it appears in certain departments and not in others versus one total for the town, which seems to be the way that the Board of Education chose it in their budget. He stated last year, the Board of Education reported \$642,500 on Page 104, and this year they show \$614,419 on Page 105 for a decrease of \$28,081. He noted after adding up all the various amounts from the Town's departments, he believes the total for last year was \$1,846,585, and this year they show \$1,822,923 for a decrease of \$23,662. He stated the total for workmen's compensation for the Town and Board of Education is proposed to be \$2,437,342, which is down from last year's total of \$2,489,085. He stated while he welcomes the decrease of the combined \$51,743, he believes they should do better since a great deal of this money is self-funded. He noted they should encourage safe work environments, workers' safety with posters and lectures and suggestions from employees to help bring this cost down further, which he believes is doable. He stated his belief the insurance carrier would welcome the chance to assist in that endeavor at no additional cost.

He stated the Social Services Administration budget on Page 91 shows that they're eliminating the Assistant Director of SSI/Grant Manager position that had a salary of \$76,500. He questioned whether this is the same person that the Town Manager said at the last meeting was doing a great job of getting grants for the Enfield Child Development Center. He noted Page 91 also shows the Director of Social Services salary would go from \$103,949 to \$181,131, and he feels this is excessive, or is there an error people should know about. He stated he recently read that there could be a change to come, therefore, he's sure this will be reviewed.

Mr. Young stated perhaps an update can be provided on the St. James 2015 road project. He questioned when is the anticipated starting date for St. James Avenue, and has the Connecticut Water Company finished their destruction of the road to install sewer lines. He stated before starting a roads project, he hopes the town looks at the road and clears the Connecticut Water Company's work. He noted there have been constant puddles of water on the east side of this road. He stated town engineers should look at this situation with the water company to decide whether corrective action is required before the road is done.

Kelly Hemmeler, 10 Hartford Avenue

Stated the Opera House Players will be a great addition to Enfield, and she is helping them raise some money to get into their new facility at 100 High Street. She noted she will be doing a fundraiser at Hot Table next Wednesday, May 15th between 3:00 and 9:00 p.m. with 25% of the proceeds going towards this fundraiser.

Joe Saxton, 76 South River Street

Shared a letter he wrote concerning the Public Hearing for the South River Street projects:

"This letter is my response to the Hearing held April 17, 2019 at Enfield Town Hall. Project 48-190 is using money from an old Congressional earmark for a bike path crossing high speed rail,

and the first project was for an elevated crossing near Connecticut Route 190, and that was abandoned, and this project replaced it. Earmarks from that era were notorious for spending money on projects like a bridge to nowhere. It's questionable if the Hartford Line is high speed rail. At least the abandoned plan had provisions for a natural rail crossing. This plan uses an existing underpass. The re-purposing of this earmark results in a costly footbridge to nowhere. The same results could be achieved with a simple route change. From Pearl and North Main Street use Asnuntuck Street, make Asnuntuck one-way from where Cottage Green intersects on the east to where it intersects again on the west, paint a bike lane in that area, and a couple jersey barriers beyond the western most driveway on Asnuntuck.

The river quality is another problem. A complaint should be made to the EPA for allowing Springfield, Mass to violate the Clean Water Act by excessive CSO. Sometimes the river water quality measured in Longmeadow, Massachusetts is rated unsafe for boating.

Regarding Project 48-109, South River Street Bridge replacement – seems to be more than a simple bridge replacement. The current width of the bridge is not used, and the new plan makes it wider. Eminent domain and demolishing the last habitable house on Main Street are unnecessary. The problem isn't the bridge but the Main Street underpass. The Main Street underpass is both low and narrow, and for any serious development it must be addressed. Encouraging more automobile traffic into this area without addressing the underpass issue is like having TOZ zoning without a train station. Making a transportation center on the west side of the tracks was another earmark. This was originally for buses. According to the McMahon report, CT Transit didn't even want to send their buses to that site. This money could have been used better to improve the actual commuter bus lot, better lighting, maybe an indoor shelter, security cameras and much more. Again, plans being made without addressing the underpass.

Taking the Eversource property could also turn out to be shortsighted. Future energy needs could still be met by this property. Who knows what's in the cards—river turbines or other hydropower? The power companies are always near rivers for a reason. Why not try for some type of agreement to use part of the property. Power companies often let the public use lands they own. Orrin Thompson saw the power of water and the economic value it had. That was real economic development. I know at best I'm cynical, but taking a taxpayer's property to forward this vision of riverfront access is not possible. The cesspool on the southeast corner of the South River Street Bridge was not even discussed at the hearing. That's a major structure. I doubt the railroad would allow anything like that on their property. South River Street will be more out of line with the proposed bridge. Are there any plans to demolish homes on South River Street to make it more compliant?

My view is the town is using the proposed bridge to acquire property. As a citizen of Enfield, Connecticut, I am against this larger bridge project and using eminent domain. Address the access to the area first, larger underpass or rail crossing.”

Marjorie Stradinger, 35 North River Street

Thanked the Council for some of the questions they raised. Stated residents attended many Planning & Zoning meetings and many times asked if their properties would be in jeopardy, and they were assured that no private property would be taken for any of these projects. She noted people have to reconcile that with the April 17th Public Hearing where not only is this being thought of, but it's well underway with paid engineers, consultants and monies coming in. She noted evidently the money is important enough to take property to use as though people's lives and property aren't as important as a project that the town would like to have and use money for. She stated it feels like people weren't really given an accurate picture of this when they attended all the meetings. She noted people were often assured that this would not interfere with any private property.

Ms. Stradinger stated she purchased a foreclosure on North River Street, and she cashed in her retirement funds to renovate this home. She stated they now question whether they will be able to continue living in this home because of this plan.

She stated she's not sure why a bike path and a two-lane bridge, which could easily be one lane and a sidewalk are more important than private property. She noted these people are taxpayers and they love their community. She stated they are not against projects that improve the area, but it appears the carts going before the horse. She noted many things have not been addressed, and now they're talking about a \$7 million-dollar project for South River Street. She concluded stating she did submit a written letter after the April 17th Public Hearing, however, she does not believe any of those things were addressed.

Jack Sheridan, 7 Buchanan Road

Stated he is bothered by "snake oil salesmen" that come before the Town Council and sell ideas that the Council appears to jump on. He noted he's bothered by sinking funds to get around the Town Charter spending limits and TIF financing. He stated he asked for examples where things proved themselves to be beneficial because of TIF financing, but that hasn't happened. He stated it appears Woodard & Curran consultants miscalculated things, and now they're doing the same thing with the Town Garage by charging for things that are currently part of the tax system.

Mr. Sheridan stated his belief greed is at the base of things. He referred to the JFK referendum, which was voted down, but they continue pursuing it. He noted initially they could have done that whole project for \$16 million, but now they have the whole State of Connecticut helping to pay what the town wanted for JFK, but not what was needed.

He stated attendance at the Public Hearing was very low, and he feels the Council can't think the reason people didn't come was because their happy with what the town is doing. He noted people are tired of coming and not being heard.

Mr. Sheridan referred to the school maintenance budget and the conditions that the schools are in and noted millions of dollars was budgeted every year in the school budget, but it was directed elsewhere because it's an autonomous budget.

He stated the school budget includes \$800,000 for substitute teachers, and he believes this is outrageous.

Mr. Sheridan stated there are empty seats in the schools, and soon the policies of the schools will be directed by the state and those empty seats will be filled. He noted the state wants a regional school district, and they will bus people in. He noted the state will then regulate teachers' salaries. Enfield has good teachers, but he questions if they will continue having good teachers if the state keeps trying to regulate what they do.

He stated the town gave away the old senior center, and the town helped the buyer with another \$25,000 to get them started.

Mr. Sheridan stated the budget book includes \$400,000 is to be spent on playscapes.

Walter Shermer, 10 Belinda Lane

Spoke in support of the current ETV Station Manager. He spoke about the importance of this position. He stated he does not want to see ETV go down the drain.

Walter Kruzal, 21 Charnley Road and Chairman of the Enfield Board of Education

Thanked the Council for its support of the new Eagle Academy.

Mr. Kruzal recognized the following two CAS-CIAC Scholar Athletes: Enfield High School seniors Jamie Galovich and David Stefaniak

Christopher Rutledge, 7 Victory Street

Stated public communication is vital for a local government to function and communication is becoming very digital. He suggested perhaps if the town considered leveraging technology, they could get more participation, i.e., budget conversations. He stated if budget conversations were done via YouTube live, a representative from the technology department could monitor the live feed, and people could just type in a question. He stated they could do things such as WebEx or net meetings where they could have a dial in number. He noted he's not sure if this would increase public participation at meetings, but it doesn't hurt to try. He pointed out the cost of these things is very minimal.

Steve Cogtella, 2 South River Street

Stated his belief the Amtrak right-of-way wasn't fully explained, and he believes this should be examined more thoroughly. He noted railroad laws are quite complex. He stated he was provided with a deed and a railroad right-of-way map, and this can be seen on the UCONN evaluation maps. He noted Section 8 of that map is the Thompsonville section. He pointed out

this map shows Main Street also encroaches on railroad property in the area of the underpass. He noted the casket company building on North River Street is right on the railroad right-of-way. He noted he was also provided with a deed from 1892, and the deed does not match up with the railroad right-of-way. He stated there are many more questions that need to be answered in order for him to be comfortable with this whole situation.

Walter Shermer, 10 Belinda Lane

Stated ETV did many events outside Town meetings, i.e., Wreaths Across America and all high school graduation ceremonies. He noted the Station Manager spends a lot of time keeping things running smoothly. He stated his belief without the Station Manager, he sees ETV going away. He urged the Council look at Page 139 of the budget and make sure the Station Manager keeps his job.

George Young, 8 Holly Lane

Addressed salaries of those above \$100,000 and below \$50,000. He noted it does not seem to be a problem asking the rank and file that earn less than \$50,000 to forego a raise when those are the people who truly need that one to three percent increase. He stated there seems to be no reluctance to increase the salaries of those above \$100,000. He noted in the proposed Town budget, there are 17 positions that pay more than \$100,000 per year or \$2,168,538. He suggested in the interest of tight budgetary times, those individuals accept a 5% decrease in their proposed salary, but not reduce them below \$100,000, which would save the town \$89,000 or 4.1% of the proposed salary budget.

Mr. Young stated not many years ago, they had a Town Manager and an Assistant to the Town Manager, and their salary was \$150,000 and \$50,000 respectively. He noted the Assistant to the Town Manager position was not filled for a period of time. He stated a new Town Manager was hired and seems to be doing a great job at a salary of \$165,000, which the Council approved with no Assistant to the Town Manager being hired. He noted the town recently hired an Assistant Town Manager at a salary of \$95,000, so the town has gone from a \$200,000 department to a \$260,000 department in a couple years. He stated they're now paying \$60,000 or 30% more for that same department. He questioned if this is something that the town can afford. He stated he's unsure if the title, "Assistant to the Town Manager" is something different that "Assistant Town Manager", but he can't believe it's a \$45,000 value to the town.

He stated the Dog Fund in the proposed budget has a budget of \$51,623, which is the same as last year. He noted Animal Control receives funds from licenses and permits and \$32,023 from General Fund transfers, which is the same as 2019. He noted the part-time salaries were \$30,000 a couple years ago, and they're now \$41,000. He questioned if this part-time salary is for an Animal Control Officer similar to the one they have full-time in the Police Services area or is it clerical staff. He noted if the social security and Medicare taxes were calculated correctly for the part-time person, then the town could budget less monies to them. He stated his belief no one is paying attention to some of the details that should be looked at.

Jack Sheridan, 7 Buchanan Road

Stated he has been attending Council meetings over 40 years, and he recalls when Walter Shermer used to drag in all his equipment. He noted Mr. Shermer had to go through all the tapes the old-fashioned way, and he did a great job. He stated his belief if it weren't for Mr. Shermer, ETV wouldn't be here today.

COUNCILOR COMMUNICATIONS & PETITIONS

Councilor Cekala stated she asked the same question about Social Services as did Mr. Young. She noted this has to do with an issue with Munis, therefore, it's not \$181,000. She went on to note the town does a lot of work to keep costs down with worker's compensation.

As concerns Mr. Sheridan's comments about the JFK project, Councilor Cekala pointed out Enfield state taxpayers would have to pay for a school wherever it was going to go, and now Enfield will get the benefit from it.

Regarding South River Street, Councilor Cekala stated the information given the Council this evening states it's \$3.3 million dollars with 80% coming from federal funds. She noted no one on the Council wants to take anyone's property, but the questions they have been asking have been very pointed and they wanted just the facts, and the information they have been given over several months is that there's no way to do this project and not take real estate. She stated if they didn't take property, they wouldn't be able to address all the issues. She stated her understanding once they start doing improvements, they must be sure everything complies with state code. She noted if they now know that the road is on Amtrak property, that must be fixed.

Councilor Sferrazza referred to the Dog Fund item raised by Mr. Young and stated Enfield is number one in the whole State of Connecticut regarding the collection of registered licenses for dogs. He pointed out a lot of that is due to the part-timers that are doing their job to be sure dogs are licensed. He stated part-time animal control officers are part of the union, therefore, their salary is part of the police union contract so there's not a lot of leeway in that area.

He stated about two weeks ago he attended a CRCOG meeting in Hartford, along with the Town Manager, Assistant Town Manager and the Director of Development Services. He noted at this specific meeting they discussed railroads, and there are six or seven towns still waiting for train stations in their cities and towns. He stated everyone wanted a train station in their town, and they were asking for millions of dollars to get a train station built. He noted at the end of this meeting, it was decided the best course of action would be for the individual towns to meet with the Commissioner of Transportation and make a pitch. He stated two weeks before this meeting, the Enfield Town Manager met with the Commissioner and made a pitch for Enfield, and he doesn't believe he was pitching for a million-dollar train station, but rather a platform. He stated Enfield hasn't received an answer yet.

As concerns South River Street, Councilor Sferrazza stated when he was appointed to the Council, he knew there would be difficult decisions. He noted he wants to do the most good for the most people. He noted it's known the South River Street Bridge was in critical condition, and it's been only temporarily repaired. He stated they then learned they're encroaching on Amtrak property. He noted if Enfield passes on this project, another community will get that 80%, and Enfield will then have to build that bridge. He feels this project will do the most good for the most people. He stated he would not want to burden Enfield with a \$4 or \$5 million dollar price tag in three years if that bridge collapses.

Councilor Unghire stated Enfield High had their honors breakfast last week, and 400 students were recognized. She noted students are working hard and teachers are doing a good job.

She stated Enfield High School had their Willy Wonka play, and the sets and costumes were perfect. She noted they sang, danced and did a great job. She congratulated Glenn and Sue Reese, who did a superb job.

Councilor Unghire stated JFK had their Mary Poppins, Jr. play, and over 100 students participated in that play. She congratulated Kristen Fitzsimmons for all her hard work.

Councilor Bosco stated he attended the ECDC talent show, and they have a lot of talented kids, who did a wonderful job. He noted it was very enjoyable.

He suggested perhaps Steve Cogtella could move the house back, and perhaps the town could help with permits.

Councilor Cressotti stated this is a difficult situation. He noted these are two projects that are going to be the cornerstone of development to make things look a little nicer in the Thompsonville section. He stated the Council doesn't want to see anyone lose their property, but this is a \$3 million dollar project with 80% reimbursement. He stated he will be supportive of the project, but he will also be very supportive of Mr. Cogtella to make sure his dealing with the State of Connecticut and the town is going to be to his advantage.

He stated he attended the honors breakfast at Enfield High School and passed out certificates. He noted this was a great event. He noted over 400 students making honor roll all year long is quite an accomplishment.

Councilor Cressotti thanked Mark Gahr and his Public Works staff for moving the Enfield Hall of Fame to the Hall of Champions at Enfield High School. He stated it looks awesome.

He stated the Enfield Together Coalition had their dinner recently, and a lot of people were recognized, i.e., Councilors Sferrazza and Unghire, and they do a fantastic job.

Councilor Cressotti stated he also attended the Mary Poppins production.

He noted there was a senior symposium at Asnuntuck Community College regarding dementia. He commended Pat Linehan and Dave Goyette for putting this together.

Councilor Cressotti stated the Allied Sports Rehabilitation Athletic Program had their time trials at Loomis this past weekend, and this is a preparation for the swimming and track teams that will be going to the State games in June.

He stated the Toast of Enfield was awesome a couple weeks ago.

Councilor Szewczak stated Chairman Ludwick, Councilor Cressotti and herself attended the April 17th Public Hearing, and they were all surprised to see the plan. She noted they did submit questions on April 25th and did receive answers on April 29th, and most of the questions they asked have been asked from the floor. She stated they do need to ensure that if properties are needed for the betterment of the town in an area, it needs to be done in a very fair fashion.

MOTION #4996 by Councilor Szewczak, seconded by Councilor Deni to suspend the rules to address under Miscellaneous this evening Items 14 E, F, G, H, I, J, K, L, M, N, O, and P.

Upon a **SHOW-OF-HANDS** vote being taken, the Chair declared **MOTION #4996** adopted 10-0-0.

Councilor Szewczak stated health insurance is self-insured, but that's not the case with worker's compensation insurance. She noted they did look at going to self-insured for worker's compensation, but they never could get enough seed money to move forward.

TOWN MANAGER REPORT & COMMUNICATIONS

Mr. Bromson thanked Mr. Cogtella who always comes prepared with the facts and is always civil and polite, and that is appreciated. He thanked other residents for their comments and cordiality. He stated he has tried to be very transparent. He noted there were two presentations this evening, one of them on a major program and initiative of the Board of Education that the town must be a partner in, which involves moving Head Start.

He stated they also had a presentation on the bridge. He noted they try to keep the public informed with hearings and presentations. He noted delaying projects will jeopardize funding. He stated he will work with Mr. Cogtella and residents in this area. He noted when he learned Mr. Cogtella was going to be affected, he told him and shared with leadership.

Mr. Bromson referred to ETV and thanked Mr. Shermer for his many years of work. He noted the town is committed to ETV, and they are not curtailing it, and the programming will continue. He noted they are updating to HD to upgrade the service.

As concerns salaries for the Town Manager's office, Mr. Bromson noted his salary is about the same as the last manager. He noted salaries for Town Managers in Manchester and other communities is a little higher.

Mr. Bromson stated there's a big difference between an Assistant Town Manager and an Assistant to the Town Manager. He noted he hired an Assistant Town Manager because he feels a lot of things slipped over the last couple years and things weren't being addressed. He stated they need to have a transition and have an Assistant Town Manager that has the background and can step in.

He stated Local Union 1029 took a zero increase and the non-union personnel took a zero increase last year.

Mr. Bromson stated they have a Town Safety Committee comprised mostly of employees, and he's the Chairman of that Committee. He noted they meet quarterly, and they exchange ideas. He noted the Council redirected money from an Environmental Health and Safety position to a full-time ZEO, another blight officer and a safety officer. He stated the safety officer started work, and she's been out to all the divisions and departments and is now conducting a safety survey with the Assistant Town Manager to find out what employees need. He noted safety is number one.

He noted a preliminary plan was drawn up for a Higgins Park. He noted the developer at St. Adalbert's has a gymnasium and theater that he wants to offer to the town through a partnership. He stated they will be bringing an actual conceptual plan before the Council. He noted this plan calls for a park behind Town Hall, a walking track, exercise stations, a small shell for entertainment, a dog park, and possibly adding more parking. He stated this study will look at the Strand and Lamagna Center. He noted the Lamagna Center is antiquated and doesn't adequately serve the needs it was designed for and what's needed today. He stated they'd like to add on to the building and gymnasium currently there with a new pool because the current pool is out of date and the cost to repair is exorbitant.

Councilor Deni requested Mr. Young's question be addressed concerning when St. James Avenue will be started. Chairman Ludwick requested information on Ganny Terrace as well.

Mr. Nunes stated as concerns St. James, they are waiting for the transfers to cover the cost before they can award the project, and the estimated time is within a month. He noted Ganny Terrace is part of the same project.

TOWN ATTORNEY REPORT & COMMUNICATIONS

Attorney Elsdon stated on May 8th and May 22nd, the Town Attorney's office will have FOI training for department heads and division managers, and they've been invited to include any of their staff.

Councilor Szewczak stated the Council sometimes receives privileged information, and it's okay for the Town Manager to disseminate information to the Council, but it concerns her when

Councilors click on “Reply All”. Attorney Elsdon stated they do caution about clicking on “Reply All”.

REPORT OF SPECIAL COMMITTEES OF THE COUNCIL

Councilor Muller gave a JFK Building Committee update. He noted the RFQ should be going out this week for the Construction Manager at Risk. He stated the user group interviews were conducted on April 16th and 18th. He noted one of the big projects they’re working on right now is the heating system, and their goal is to fast-track and get rid of the temporary boilers.

Councilor Unghire stated the Enfield Together Coalition is getting all the church groups together, and tomorrow at 10:00 a.m., they will have a speaker at Calvary Presbyterian Church with a social hour preceding that at 9:00 a.m. She noted in the fall they will have a presentation for the youth in town through all these churches. She stated the speaker is Dr. Michael Ferris.

Councilor Cressotti referred to the PCB testing going on at JFK at this time and questioned if there’s an update. Councilor Muller indicated he’d check into this.

Councilor Szewczak stated the Facilities Committee met and they get those PCB readings. Mr. Nunes stated they had one hit at JFK in Room 22 in the black wing. He noted they did some subsequent testing afterwards, and it came back non-detectable, so it’s clean and all the students are back in the room.

Councilor Cressotti questioned whether they’ll still be doing quarterly testing through the end of the year, and Mr. Nunes stated they will still require quarterly testing. He noted DEEP is looking for a full-blown schedule as to how long it will take to get the building in construction.

Councilor Szewczak stated they approved the drawings for the Phase II Henry Barnard School reroofing, and those are being signed by all the appropriate departments. She noted that will go out to bid, and three bids will have to be secured from the state approved contractor site.

OLD BUSINESS

APPOINTMENTS (TOWN COUNCIL)

MOTION #4997 by Councilor Muller, seconded by Councilor Szewczak to remove A2 from the table.

Upon a **SHOW-OF-HANDS** vote being taken, the Chair declared **MOTION #4997** adopted 10-0-0.

NOMINATION #4998 by Councilor Szewczak to appoint Walter Shermer to the Area 25 Cable Television Advisory Committee for a term which expires 6/30/2020.

MOTION #4999 by Councilor Deni, seconded by Councilor Cressotti to close nominations.

Upon a **SHOW-OF-HANDS** vote being taken, the Chair declared **MOTION #4999** adopted 10-0-0.

Upon a **ROLL-CALL** vote being taken, the Chair declared Walter Shermer appointed to the Area 25 Cable Television Advisory Committee by a 10-0-0 vote.

All other appointments remained tabled. Items D and E remained tabled.

NEW BUSINESS

NOMINATION #5000 by Councilor Sferrazza to appoint Jonathan Porzuc (U) to the Blight Review Committee for a term which expires 4/1/2021.

MOTION #5001 by Councilor Deni, seconded by Councilor Bosco to close nominations.

Upon a **SHOW-OF-HANDS** vote being taken, the Chair declared **MOTION #5001** adopted 10-0-0.

Upon a **ROLL-CALL** vote being taken, the Chair declared Jonathan Porzuc appointed to the Blight Review Committee for a term which expires 4/1/2021.

NOMINATION #5002 by Councilor Muller to appoint Jacquelyn Bosco (R) to the Blight Review Committee for a term which expires 4/1/2022.

MOTION #5003 by Councilor Szewczak, seconded by Councilor Cressotti to close nominations.

Upon a **SHOW-OF-HANDS** vote being taken, the Chair declared **MOTION #5003** adopted 10-0-0.

Upon a **ROLL-CALL** vote being taken, the Chair declared Jacquelyn Bosco appointed to the Blight Review Committee by a 9-0-1 vote, with Councilor Bosco abstaining.

NOMINATION #5004 by Councilor Deni to appoint Mark Zarcaro (D) to the Enfield housing Authority for a term which expires 11/30/2020.

MOTION #5005 by Councilor Sferrazza, seconded by Councilor Kiner to close nominations.

Upon a **SHOW-OF-HANDS** vote being taken, the Chair declared **MOTION #5005** adopted 10-0-0.

Upon a **ROLL-CALL** vote being taken, the Chair declared Mark Zarcaro appointed to the Enfield Housing Authority by a 10-0-0 vote.

ITEMS FOR DISCUSSION

All items were moved to Miscellaneous.

MISCELLANEOUS

RESOLUTION #5006 by Councilor Muller, seconded by Councilor Cressotti.

RESOLVED, that in accordance with Chapter VI, Section 8(f) of the Town Charter, the following transfer is hereby made:

TO:	Information Technology		
	Technology Services	24012100-553400	\$25,000
	Telephone	24012100-553100	\$ 5,000
FROM:	Information Technology		
	Salaries	24012100-511000	\$10,000
	Benefits	24012100-521000	\$20,000

Mr. Bromson stated this is an internal transfer with no impact to the budget.

Councilor Kiner stated his understanding they're transferring \$30,000 from salaries and benefits. He questioned where that money came from. Mr. Russell stated the Network Administrator position was open for half the year, and that's the money that they used from that position.

Upon a **ROLL-CALL** vote being taken, the Chair declared **RESOLUTION #5006** adopted 10-0-0.

RESOLUTION #5007 by Councilor Muller, seconded by Councilor Cressotti.

WHEREAS, Chapter 34, Article II of the Town Code is the Alarm Systems Ordinance; and

WHEREAS, Section 34-31 fails to include third party reported audible alarms associated with any residential or commercial real estate; Section 34-33 contains some ambiguous language rendering proper enforcement unnecessarily challenging; and Section 34-33 triggers the payment of a fine for a false alarm after only two (residential) false alarms in a calendar year.

WHEREAS, Enfield Town Council wishes to seek input on these amendments from the public.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Town Council does hereby schedule a Public Hearing to be held on May 20, 2019, at 6:50 p.m. in the Enfield Town Hall Council Chambers, 820 Enfield Street, Enfield, Connecticut in order to allow interested citizens an opportunity to express their opinions regarding the amendment of Enfield Town Code, Chapter 34, Article II, Alarm Systems.

Chief Fox explained a recent audit of enforcement of violations of the Town Alarm Ordinance indicated that there was room for improvement, and those improvements were made internally within the agency. He noted the same audit caused a review in-house of the terms of the ordinance. He stated there is some ambiguity and questions about the enforceability. He stated his belief they made it easier to apply, easier to follow and kinder in terms of the circumstances under which an individual could possibly receive a town citation for a violation.

Councilor Sferrazza questioned if Steve Hall is in charge, and Chief Fox responded yes. Councilor Sferrazza stated when he was with the Police Department, he recalls it was a tremendous amount of work that Mr. Hall had to do with this on top of everything else. He questioned whether he's able to keep up with this, and Chief Fox stated that is an issue, but it's not solved by this, and that's a manpower staffing issue that they're doing the best they can to work around. Councilor Sferrazza raised the possibility of Information Technology helping with this. He recommended Chief Fox let the Council know if there's something that could help Mr. Hall get this work done.

Chief Fox stated they are looking at the number of false alarms that would be allowed before there's a fine.

Upon a **ROLL-CALL** vote being taken, the Chair declared **RESOLUTION #5007** adopted 10-0-0.

Mr. Bromson stated he prefers not taking action on Item G. He noted the Town Attorney informed him that more review is needed.

RESOLUTION #5008 by Councilor Muller, seconded by Councilor Szewczak.

WHEREAS, the Enfield WPCF generates approximately 5,460 tons of cake sludge each year; and

WHEREAS, the Town has utilized the Metropolitan District Commission (MDC) for disposal of said sludge for at least the last six years; and

WHEREAS, the MDC has provided reliable and efficient service; and

WHEREAS, the WPCF has determined that there are no alternative nearby facilities with the capacity to process the Town's cake sludge; and

WHEREAS, the MDC has offered a contract extension to the Town on favorable terms,

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that in accordance with Chapter V, Section 8, Paragraph (d) of the Enfield Town Charter, the Town Council does hereby determine that it is against the best interests of the Town to require competitive bidding for Sludge Disposal Services.

Upon a **ROLL-CALL** vote being taken, the Chair declared **RESOLUTION #5008** adopted 10-0-0.

RESOLUTION #5009 by Councilor Muller, seconded by Councilor Cressotti.

RESOLVED, that the Town Manager, Christopher W. Bromson, is authorized to enter into an agreement in the name and on behalf of the Town of Enfield with Greene Law, P.C., subject to review and approval by the Town Attorney.

Upon a **ROLL-CALL** vote being taken, the Chair declared **RESOLUTION #5009** adopted 10-0-0.

RESOLUTION #5010 by Councilor Muller, seconded by Councilor Cressotti.

WHEREAS, in 2008 a committee, comprised of the Town Council, the Board of Education, and staff of both organizations, met over a series of months to create a workable policy for the use of Town and BOE owned and controlled facilities (facilities) which Policy was adopted by Council Resolution No. 9942 on July 7, 2008 and by the BOE on July 8, 2008; and

WHEREAS, though there were minor revisions in 2011 and earlier in 2019, since that time, it has become apparent that such policy required careful review to insure proper and consistent usage of rental fees for outdoor athletic fields and to provide compensation for expenses incurred to maintain and operate them; and

WHEREAS, the Enfield Town Council wishes to presently revise this policy,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Enfield Town Council does hereby adopt the Facilities Use Policy in accordance with the attached revisions.

Mr. Bromson stated this is for an amendment to the Facilities Use Policy that the Council previously adopted. He noted some issues came up, and the subcommittee met a couple times and they worked out some amendments. He noted some people claim no other towns charge for these services, but that's not accurate. He stated they exempted bonafide town and school teams that have existed and have been using the fields for decades. He noted unlike every other town, Enfield isn't charging for "for profit" groups and non-residents. He stated Mr. Gahr put together a list, which included Bloomfield, East Hartford, East Windsor, Ellington, Farmington, Glastonbury, Granby, Madison, Southington, Manchester, Waterford and West Hartford, and those towns all charge. He pointed out Enfield was the only town not charging for these services.

Councilor Szewczak stated a citizen brought to her attention a line that reads, “athletic organizations exempt from the rental fees listed above” and she stated they have to add the words, “except where noted special fees for exempt teams”. She noted this is because someone will interpret that they are exempt from the fees even though they are not exempt from the tournament fees.

Mr. Bromson suggested the Town Attorney opine because they’ve been reviewing the language because it may have a certain intent, and it will end up being not what was intended. He pointed out every time its changed, it opens up more questions.

Councilor Szewczak stated her belief it’s only the tournaments that they have a fee for exempt organizations.

Councilor Cekala stated she shared with everyone her opinion. She noted she does not have a problem with this policy in general, but she has a problem with the fees because she believes they are still too high. She feels the tournament fees are fair, and she feels exempt and non-exempt organizations should be paying for tournaments because everyone makes money. She thanked Building & Grounds because they work very hard, and she appreciates all the work done for opening days.

She referred to Line #293 and suggested it be \$50 per game instead of \$75, and add another line saying, “\$25 per practice”, or a \$400 per season fee and take away for practices because she feels it should not be both. She stated it should be a per use fee or a season fee.

Councilor Bosco stated there are some organizations using Enfield’s fields for a business. He stated his belief it costs \$75 to stripe the fields. Mr. Nunes stated the average is about \$120 for two staff members to go out and stripe a field. Councilor Bosco stated it costs more money than people think to maintain these fields.

Chairman Ludwick stated if someone applies for an exemption, he would like a copy of that application kept on file. Mr. Bromson stated if someone wishes to be added to the exempted list, they can file an application with the Town Manager stating 90% of the players on the roster are from Enfield and that they are a non-profit organization. He noted that would then go to the subcommittee for review, and if the subcommittee believes they’re eligible and should be included, it would come before the entire Council. He stated each December they will review the list to keep it updated.

Chairman Ludwick requested Attorney Elsdén’s input.

Attorney Elsdén stated she’s disinclined to make a change at this point.

Mr. Bromson suggested leaving this as is and give it a year, and if issues come up, they can amend it.

Councilor Cekala questioned if the fee is per field or per complex, and Mr. Bromson responded per field.

Councilor Bosco stated his belief they should keep the fees as is.

AMENDMENT #1 by Councilor Cekala, seconded by Chairman Ludwick to revise Line #293 to replace \$75.00 with \$50.00 and add \$25.00 per practice, or on Line #295 charge \$400 per season and strike out everything after “per season”.

Mr. Bromson stated this was discussed by the subcommittee, and this was the recommendation that they split the seasons and split practices to not include games, and this is what the subcommittee wanted. He noted it was then brought before the whole Council. He pointed out this was not a staff decision, but rather a subcommittee decision.

Councilor Sferrazza stated his understanding it costs \$120 to prepare a field, therefore, he would not go along with charging less than what the break-even number would be.

Councilor Cekala stated the original amount that was brought out by staff before was \$50, and the subcommittee went up to \$100. She stated the fact that they now want it above the original amount suggested by the department is ridiculous.

Upon a **SHOW-OF-HANDS** vote being taken, the Chair declared **AMENDMENT #1** failed by a 3-7-0, with Councilors Cekala, Kiner and Chairman Ludwick voting in favor of the amendment.

Councilor Szewczak stated she'd like to leave the games at \$75, but if the maximum is \$400 for practices, it should be \$400 for games as well. Councilor Cekala stated it sounds like they would be charging \$800 for practices and games for a season. Councilor Szewczak stated that is not what she's suggesting. She noted she's suggesting the maximum out-of-pocket for a team that plays and practices is \$400, not a sum of the two.

AMENDMENT #2 by Councilor Cekala, seconded by Chairman Ludwick to change Line #293 to replace \$75 per game with \$50 per game and add \$25 per practice and on the next line add “or \$400 per season” and strike out “for practices” and keep the “maximum of two practices per week”.

Mr. Bromson stated it might be better to have a special session with the whole Council to work this out, so everyone is on the same page when amendments are made. He questioned if DPW is okay with this. Mr. Nunes stated DPW is concerned with new unlimited practices by not having a disclaimer that they can only get two practices per week because there are people who would love to take advantage of that.

Upon a **SHOW-OF-HANDS** vote being taken, the Chair declared **AMENDMENT #2** failed by a 4-6-0 vote, with Councilors Cekala, Kiner, Unghire and Chairman Ludwick voting in favor of the amendment.

Upon a **ROLL-CALL** vote being taken, the Chair declared **RESOLUTION #5010** adopted 9-1-0, with Councilor Cekala voting against the resolution.

Councilor Cekala stated it will eventually have to be amended because they're now charging \$400 for one practice.

RESOLUTION #5011 by Councilor Muller, seconded by Councilor Cressotti

WHEREAS, Section 46-83 of the Town Code governs Historic District properties; and

WHEREAS, Conn. Gen. Stat. Section 7-147c(c) allows the Town Council to so amend the Historic District Commission; and

WHEREAS, the recommended amendment pertains to solar and renewable energy systems; and

WHEREAS, the Town Council held a public hearing in the Enfield Town Hall, Council Chambers on May 6, 2019 to allow public input on the recommended amendment.

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, the Enfield Town Council adopts the amendment to Chapter 46, Section 46-83 of the Town Code.

Upon a **ROLL-CALL** vote being taken, the Chair declared **RESOLUTION #5011** adopted 8-1-1, with Councilor Bosco voting against and Councilor Cekala abstaining.

Councilor Cekala left the meeting.

RESOLUTION #5012 by Councilor Muller, seconded by Councilor Szewczak.

RESOLVED, that the Town Manager, Christopher W. Bromson, is authorized to enter into an agreement in the name and on behalf of the Town of Enfield with CGI Communications, Inc., subject to review and approval by the Town Attorney.

Upon a **ROLL-CALL** vote being taken, the Chair declared **RESOLUTION #5012** adopted 9-0-0.

RESOLUTION #5013 by Councilor Muller, seconded by Councilor Deni.

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Town Council of the Town of Enfield hereby ratifies and accepts the Labor Agreement between the Town's Board of Education and the Enfield Teachers' Association for the period from July 1, 2020 to June 30, 2023.

Upon a **ROLL-CALL** vote being taken, the Chair declared **RESOLUTION #5013** adopted 9-0-0.

RESOLUTION #5014 by Councilor Szewczak, seconded by Councilor Cressotti.

WHEREAS, it is in the best interest of the Town of Enfield to proceed with the Preliminary design of the South River Street Bridge; and

WHEREAS, the Town held a Public Hearing on the subject project on April 17, 2019, as required by the Connecticut Department of Transportation; and

WHEREAS, the proposed design improvements enhance the safety of the traveling public in all modes; and

WHEREAS, the preliminary design would remove existing road improvements from encroaching on railroad right of way; and

WHEREAS, these proposed improvements meet minimum Town standards for road width and sidewalk access, as defined in the Enfield Subdivision Regulations; and

WHEREAS, these proposed improvements comply with the Town of Enfield Complete Streets Policy Core Commitment, the Connecticut River Access Project, and coordinate with the plans for the future Thompsonville Transit Station; and

WHEREAS, these proposed improvements will require the full acquisition of the property known as 5 Main Street and a portion of 2 South River Street to provide space for removing the roadway from encroaching on Railroad right of way, provide minimum Town standard width for two-way access and provide sidewalk access.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, THAT THE Town fully supports this project as designed and intends to proceed to final design of the South River Street Bridge replacement, including the addition of sidewalks and road improvements to South River Street and the intersection of Main Street.

Chairman Ludwick stated he has a great deal of respect for Steve and Caroline and all the people on South River Street and that area. He noted unfortunately, these are some of the tough decisions that they really don't like to make but must make. He commended the Council for speaking honestly and for what they believe.

Upon a **ROLL-CALL** vote being taken, the Chair declared **RESOLUTION #5014** adopted 9-0-0.

RESOLUTION #5015 by Councilor Muller, seconded by Councilor Szewczak.

WHEREAS, it is in the best interest of the Town of Enfield to proceed with the Preliminary design of the Connecticut River Access Project; and

WHEREAS, the Town held a Public Hearing for the subject project on April 17, 2019, as required by the Connecticut Department of Transportation; and

WHEREAS, the proposed design improvements enhance the safety for the traveling public in all modes by providing better access to the Connecticut river; and

WHEREAS, these proposed improvements comply with the Town of Enfield Complete Streets Policy Core Commitment and coordinate with the plans for the South River Street Bridge Replacement Project and the future Thompsonville Transit Station; and

WHEREAS, these proposed improvements will require partial land acquisitions to provide space for walkway and footbridge,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Town fully supports this project as designed and intends to proceed to final design of the Connecticut River Access Project.

Councilor Deni stated a speaker spoke about the underpass and whether there's a plan to address the narrow road in that area. Mr. Bromson stated his belief with the long-term train station plan and potential double track that is one of the improvements, and that is in the DOT plan for the future.

Upon a **ROLL-CALL** vote being taken, the Chair declared **RESOLUTION #5015** adopted 9-0-0.

RESOLUTION #5016 by Councilor Muller, seconded by Councilor Deni.

RESOLVED, that the Town Manager, Christopher W. Bromson, is authorized to sign and submit the grant applications in the name and on behalf of the Town of Enfield with the Capitol Region Council of Government.

Councilor Szewczak questioned whether this will be integrated with the current walkway in that area, and Mr. Nunes responded this will be an extension of the current one running from Raffia Road to Abbe Road. Councilor Szewczak questioned whether there's enough right of way, and Mr. Nunes responded yes.

Councilors Bosco voiced his support of this project.

Councilor Unghire questioned the length of this project, and Mr. Nunes responded 3,700 feet.

Upon a **ROLL-CALL** vote being taken, the Chair declared **RESOLUTION #5016** adopted 9-0-0.

PUBLIC COMMUNICATIONS

Walter Kruzal, 21 Charnley Road (Chairman of the Enfield Board of Education)

Thanked the Council for approving the teachers' contract.

ADJOURNMENT

MOTION #5017 by Councilor Sferrazza, seconded by Councilor Deni to adjourn.

Upon a **SHOW-OF-HANDS** vote being taken, the Chair declared **MOTION #5017** adopted 9-0-0, and the meeting stood adjourned at 9:55 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Suzanne F. Olechnicki
Town Clerk
Clerk of the Council

Jeannette Lamontagne
Secretary to the Council

281
282
283
284
285
286
287
288
289
290
291
292
293
294
295
296
297
298
299
300
301
302
303
304
305
306
307
308
309
310
311
312
313
314
315
316
317
318
319
320
321
322
323
324
325
326
327
328
329
330
331
332
333
334

SCHEDULE A

**Rental Fees for Outdoor Athletic Facilities
Includes Baseball, Softball, Football and Soccer Fields**

Artificial Turf Fields (not subject to Tournament Fees):
\$1000.00 for up to four (4) hours (mandatory minimum fee). Lights Included
\$250.00 per hour for every hour, or part thereof, after the first four (4) hours. Lights Included

Grass Fields: \$100.00 **\$75.00** per game (Tournament Fees listed below).
\$400.00 per season for practices (maximum of two practices per week)

Spring Season is defined as May through July.
Fall Season is defined as August through October.

Lights at Grass Fields: \$25.00 per game (Tournament Fees listed below).

Tournaments: \$1000.00 per day per field.

Definition: A series of contests and/or games between 4 or more invited teams held over a one to three-day period.

Tournaments must be approved by the Facilities Manager and/or his/her agent. The Town may require that the sponsoring organization provide at its own expense services including, but not necessarily limited to, police, security, trash removal and additional sanitary facilities as deemed necessary.

Exempt Athletic Organizations (listed below): \$500.00 per day per field.

Non-Exempt Organizations: \$1000.00 per day per field.

Lights for Tournaments: Included in Tournament Fee.

**Athletic Organizations Exempt from the
Rental Fees Listed Above**

Enfield Little League	Enfield Girls' Softball Association
Enfield Men's Softball	Greater Enfield Men's Softball League
Enfield Ramblers Football	Enfield Women's Softball League
Enfield Soccer Club	Enfield Men's Over 30 Soccer
American Legion Baseball – 15U, 17U and 19U	Allied Enfield Allied's Stars

A team can file a written application to the Town Manager's Office for exemption status for a term of one year, if the team meets the following criteria:

1. 90% of the players on the roster have Enfield residency, and
2. The organization provides proof of not-for-profit status.

The written applications will then be reviewed by the Public Works Subcommittee. Recommendations will be brought before the Town Council for final decision. The list of exempt teams will be reviewed by the Town Council in December of each year.